

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence and secular trend of congenital anomalies in Glasgow, UK

S Dastgiri, D H Stone, C Le-Ha, W H Gilmour

Arch Dis Child 2002;**86**:257–263

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Prof. D Stone, Paediatric
Epidemiology and
Community Health
(PEACH) Unit, Department
of Child Health, Yorkhill
Hospitals, Glasgow
G3 8SJ, UK;
D.h.stone@clinmed.gla.ac.uk

Accepted 20 December
2001

Aim: To describe the epidemiology of congenital anomalies in Glasgow with special reference to secular trends.

Methods: The prevalence of congenital anomalies was determined retrospectively in 233 777 births using the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies for the period 1980–97.

Results: The total prevalence of congenital anomalies was 324 per 10 000 births, declining by just over a third from 382 per 10 000 births in 1980 to 238 per 10 000 births in 1997. The categories of defects with the highest prevalence were congenital heart disease (50 per 10 000 births), anomalies of limbs (49 per 10 000 births), and digestive system anomalies (47 per 10 000 births). Prevalence in most categories of anomaly declined, including those of the ear (–88%), congenital heart disease (–69%), anomalies of integument (–67%), nervous system anomalies (–61%), anomalies of limb (–54%), and urogenital (including renal) anomalies (–31%). By contrast, there was a significant upward trend for chromosomal anomalies (+50%).

Conclusions: Despite the decline in the prevalence of many types of congenital anomaly, around 2.5% of all births in Glasgow were still associated with these disorders in 1997. In attempting to explain the prevalence and secular trend of congenital anomalies in Glasgow, underlying contributing factors require to be considered. These include changes in case ascertainment, antenatal screening, and diagnostic methods.

About 2–3% of births are associated with major congenital anomalies diagnosed at or soon after birth. Despite the fact that the birth prevalence of some types of congenital anomalies has been declining during the past two decades in some countries,^{1–6} they are still a major cause of perinatal mortality and childhood disability in Europe. With the control of infectious disease and malnutrition, particularly in developed countries, congenital anomalies are now making a proportionally greater contribution to ill health in childhood.⁷

The purpose of the present study was to provide a descriptive overview of the epidemiology of congenital anomalies in Glasgow and specifically to answer two questions: (1) What is the prevalence of congenital anomalies? (2) Has there been a recent secular change in the prevalence of congenital anomalies?

METHODS

Congenital anomalies were defined as structural defects, chromosomal abnormalities, inborn errors of metabolism, and hereditary disease diagnosed before, at, or after birth. The source of data was the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies (GRCA), a population based registry covering all mothers resident within the boundaries of the Greater Glasgow Health Board, Glasgow, Scotland, UK. The GRCA has been systematically validated.^{8,9} In common with the other registries in the transnational EUROCAT (European Registration of Congenital Anomalies) network, the GRCA uses the ICD based coding system of the British Paediatric Association Classification of Disease.¹⁰ Cases comprised congenital anomalies identified in live births, stillbirths, and induced abortions following prenatal diagnosis. Spontaneous abortions were excluded. There was no formal time limit for registration of newly diagnosed cases. Multiple sources of ascertainment of cases were used. The main sources of ascertainment were hospital records, routine hospital discharge forms, postmortem reports, perinatal meetings, medical genetics records, paediatric discharge letters, health visitor notifications, and stillbirth

and death registers. Whatever the source of ascertainment, cases were carefully reviewed both locally (by an experienced clerical officer) and at the EUROCAT central registry (by a paediatrician specialising in pathology and genetics) to validate the diagnostic information.

Total prevalence was calculated by dividing the numerator (registered cases of congenital anomalies) by the relevant denominator (total live and stillbirths)¹¹ for the same period of time. An infant/fetus with more than one anomaly was counted once only based on the primary diagnosis.

We calculated 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each prevalence rate. Linear regression analysis was performed to assess the secular trend of congenital anomalies, where the dependent variable was the prevalence of defects and the predictor was the birth year from 1980 to 1997. Using the regression equation, the prevalence rate was predicted for all groups of congenital anomalies over time. The predicted rate in the first year of observation (1980) was subtracted from the rate at the end of the study period (1997) to give an estimate of the overall secular proportional change (in percent) in the frequency of anomalies.

All tables and graphs were generated using Microsoft Excel 1997. Linear regression analysis was performed in Minitab Release 10.51 Xtra.

RESULTS

Over the study period (1980–97), a total of 223 777 births were surveyed, of which 222 390 (99.4%) were live births and 1387 (0.6%) stillbirths. During this period, 7250 cases with a primary diagnosis of congenital anomaly were ascertained, representing an overall prevalence rate of 324 per 10 000 births (95% CI: 317 to 331).

Abbreviations: EUROCAT, European Register of Congenital Anomalies; GRCA, Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies

Table 1 Total number and prevalence (rates per 10 000 live births and stillbirths) of congenital anomalies, Glasgow, 1980–97

Time trend	Number of births		All congenital anomalies*		
	Live birth	Stillbirth	n	Prevalence	95% CI
1980	13350	133	515	382	350 to 414
1981	13390	101	509	377	345 to 409
1982	12808	76	529	411	376 to 445
1983	12592	69	461	364	331 to 397
1984	12709	74	505	395	361 to 429
1985	13003	86	448	342	311 to 373
1986	12937	76	436	335	304 to 366
1987	12909	78	396	305	275 to 334
1988	12840	68	408	316	286 to 346
1989	12225	64	360	293	263 to 323
1990	12400	71	358	287	258 to 317
1991	12763	68	356	277	249 to 306
1992	12266	73	366	297	267 to 327
1993	11817	66	354	298	267 to 328
1994	11333	74	341	299	268 to 330
1995	11098	88	301	269	239 to 299
1996	10995	69	345	312	279 to 344
1997	10955	53	262	238	210 to 266
Total	222390	1387	7250	324	317 to 331

*Live births, stillbirths, and induced abortions.


**Figure 1** Total prevalence (with 95% CI) of congenital anomalies by year in Glasgow, 1980–97.

Table 1 and fig 1 show the total prevalence and secular trend of all congenital anomalies in Glasgow between 1980 and 1997. The total prevalence of congenital anomalies declined by 38% from 382 per 10 000 births in 1980 (95% CI: 350 to 414) to 238 per 10 000 births in 1997 (95% CI: 210 to 266).

Table 2 and fig 2 show the prevalence of the main categories of congenital anomalies. The categories with the highest rates were congenital heart disease (50 per 10 000 births, 95% CI: 47 to 53), anomalies of limb (49 per 10 000 births, 95% CI: 46 to 52), and digestive system anomalies (47 per 10 000 births, 95% CI: 44 to 50). By contrast, the prevalence rates of anomalies of the ear, respiratory system, and eye were all less than 5 per 10 000 births.

Figure 3 shows the secular trends for selected groups of anomalies. Table 3 and fig 4 show the percentage change (derived from the regression analyses) in the prevalence of congenital anomalies between 1980 and 1997. There was a statistically significant upward secular trend for Down's syndrome (+100%, $p = 0.003$) and chromosomal anomalies as a whole (+50%, $p = 0.015$). The upward trend for respiratory system anomalies (+150%), cleft lip with/without palate (+8%), and musculoskeletal and connective tissue anomalies (+4%) did not reach statistical significance. By contrast, there was a decrease in the prevalence of most other anomalies, notably ear anomalies (−88%, $p = 0.015$), congenital heart

disease (−69%, $p < 0.001$), integument anomalies [defined as skin and its appendages, hair, nails, and sweat and sebaceous glands; according to the EUROCAT definition based on ICD-9, those anomalies comprise codes 7570-5, 7578-9, 6851, 2140, 2169, 2280-1] (−67%, $p = 0.007$), other anomalies (−64%, $p = 0.002$), neural tube defects (−63%, $p < 0.001$), nervous system anomalies (−61%, $p < 0.001$), anomalies of limb (−54%, $p < 0.001$), anomalies of external and internal genitals, and anomalies of the urinary tract and kidney (−31%, $p = 0.013$). The decline in the prevalence of eye anomalies (−25%), metabolic defects (−20%), and digestive system anomalies (−2%) was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Prevalence studies of congenital anomalies are useful to establish baseline rates, to document changes over time, and to identify clues to aetiology. They are also important for planning and evaluating antenatal screening for congenital anomalies, particularly in high risk populations.

The total prevalence of congenital anomalies in this study was 324 per 10 000 births, 27% higher than the average rate for all EUROCAT centres (236 per 10 000 births over 1980–94).¹² The prevalence rates of congenital heart disease, total chromosomal anomalies, and Down's syndrome were slightly lower than the average EUROCAT rates (−12%, −16%, and −13%,

Table 2 Prevalence (rates per 10 000 live births and stillbirths) of congenital anomalies, Glasgow, 1980–97

Congenital anomaly category	n	Rate/10000	95% CI
Congenital heart disease	1124	50	47 to 53
Anomalies of limb	1096	49	46 to 52
Digestive system anomalies	1049	47	44 to 50
Nervous system anomalies	819	37	34 to 39
Genitourinary tract and kidney	680	30	28 to 33
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue	552	25	23 to 27
Neural tube defects	547	24	22 to 26
Chromosomal anomalies (total)	526	24	21 to 26
Other anomalies	396	18	16 to 19
Metabolic defects	377	17	15 to 19
Down's syndrome	318	14	13 to 16
Cleft lip with/without cleft palate	290	13	11 to 14
Integument anomalies	116	5	4 to 6
Eye anomalies	93	4	3 to 5
Respiratory system anomalies	88	4	3 to 5
Ear anomalies	44	2	1 to 3
Total	7250*	324	317 to 331

*Column total cannot be derived by adding numbers in each category due to inclusion of two subcategories (Down's syndrome and neural tube defects), as well as their main categories (chromosomal anomalies and nervous system anomalies, respectively).




Figure 2 Prevalence (with 95% CI) of categories of congenital anomalies, Glasgow, 1980–97.

respectively). The Glasgow rates were higher for cleft lip (+32%), digestive system anomalies (+73%), nervous system anomalies (+46%), and neural tube defects (+64%).

Although the overall prevalence of congenital anomalies for European centres in the EUROCAT network declined from 269 per 10 000 in 1980 to 234 per 10 000 in 1994, registries in France, Belgium, Malta, Switzerland, Spain, and Italy reported increasing rates over time. British (including Glasgow), Irish, Dutch, and Danish registers reported a declining trend.

The main finding of the present study was that Glasgow experienced an overall downward trend in the prevalence of congenital anomalies as a whole from 1980 to 1997. The pattern was not, however, consistent across all categories: there was a significant increase in the prevalence of chromosomal anomalies, notably Down's syndrome. The apparent upward secular trends in the prevalence of respiratory system anomalies, cleft lip with/without palate, and musculoskeletal and connective tissue anomalies were not significant, possibly because of small numbers. All other groups of anomalies experienced an overall downward secular trend.

Neural tube defect is one of the most frequent types of defects and one of the leading causes of the fetal and infant

mortality caused by congenital anomalies around the world. Historically, Glasgow had a relatively high prevalence compared to other parts of Europe,¹³ but the recent steep decline in prevalence suggests that this may no longer be the case.

In seeking to explain geographical and secular trends, potential underlying contributing factors should be considered. Case ascertainment methods, including data collection, sources of information, and type of notification of fetal death, may vary in place and time. Although we cannot rule out the impact of these factors in our data, we suspect they are of minor importance given the relative methodological consistency with which the Glasgow data were collected and analysed over time. Improving antenatal screening and prenatal diagnosis may, however, have increased the ascertainment rate and therefore the prevalence of some anomalies.

Demographic and environmental factors^{14 15} may influence the prevalence of anomalies. Maternal age is strongly associated with the prevalence of chromosomal anomalies, especially Down's syndrome, and the rising proportion of older mothers is likely to have contributed substantially to the upward trend in the prevalence of this anomaly in Glasgow. Of the environmental factors, much attention has recently




Figure 3 Secular trend in prevalence (rates per 10 000 births) for selected groups of congenital anomalies, Glasgow, 1980–97.





Figure 3 Continued

Table 3 Change in the prevalence of congenital anomalies, Glasgow, 1980–97

Congenital anomaly category	Slope of regression line*	% change†	p value
Congenital heart disease	-2.89	-69	<0.001
Neural tube defects	-1.55	-63	<0.001
Nervous system anomalies	-1.59	-61	<0.001
Anomalies of limb	-1.69	-54	<0.001
Other anomalies	-0.926	-64	0.002
Down's syndrome	0.606	100	0.003
Integument anomalies	-0.329	-67	0.007
Genitourinary tract and kidney	-0.67	-31	0.013
Ear anomalies	-0.194	-88	0.015
Chromosomal anomalies (total)	0.598	50	0.015
Metabolic defects	-0.236	-20	0.164
Respiratory system anomalies	0.143	150	0.204
Eye anomalies	-0.064	-25	0.436
Cleft lip with/without palate	0.041	8	0.744
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue anomalies	0.052	4	0.875
Digestive system anomalies	-0.046	-2	0.917
Total	-7.78	-34	<0.001

*Average change in rate per 10000 per year.

†Percentage change in the prevalence from 1980 to 1997.

**Figure 4** Percentage change in the prevalence of congenital anomalies from 1980 to 1997, Glasgow.

focused on the role of preconceptional vitamin supplementation (especially folic acid) for the primary prevention of congenital anomalies, particularly neural tube defects. As yet, however, there is little evidence of any major change in secular trend of neural tube defects attributable to folate supplementation.^{16 17}

Finally, the particular pattern of prevalence, and the increasing trend of some groups of anomalies in Glasgow, reported by a register with good ascertainment and validated data, may give rise to speculation that there may be some specific local risk or causal factors for those anomalies. Although some studies have investigated this possibility, there is little supportive evidence. Macdonell and colleagues,¹⁸ for example, reported no relation between high concentrations of lead in domestic water supplies and neural tube defects, and Eizaguirre-Garcia and colleagues¹⁹ found no correlation between soil pollution with chromium waste and an increased risk of congenital anomalies.

In conclusion, this descriptive epidemiological study of congenital anomalies in Glasgow suggests that, despite a considerable decline in the prevalence of some types of congenital anomaly, around 2.5% of all births are still associated with these disorders. The apparently contrasting risk of congenital anomaly in Glasgow compared to other European centres and the declining prevalence of most types of anomaly remain largely unexplained.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mrs H Jordan and colleagues at the Greater Glasgow Health Board for their assistance with data collection and processing. Saeed Dastgiri and Dr Chi Le-Ha were sponsored by Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran and the Wellcome Trust (grant reference number 050375/Z/97/Z) respectively. The GRCA is funded by the Greater Glasgow Health Board.

Authors' affiliations

S Dastgiri, D H Stone, W H Gilmour, University of Glasgow
C Le-Ha, University Centre for Health Professionals, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

REFERENCES

- 1 **EUROCAT working group.** Eurocat Report 7, Scientific Institute of Public Health–Louis Pasteur, Brussels, 1997.
- 2 **Kalter H.** Five-decade international trends in the relation of perinatal mortality and congenital malformations: stillbirth and neonatal death compared. *Int J Epidemiol* 1991;**20**:173–9.
- 3 **Powell-Griner E, Woolbright A.** Trends in infant deaths from congenital anomalies: results from England and Wales, Scotland, Sweden and the United States. *Int J Epidemiol* 1990;**19**:391–8.
- 4 **Stevenson RE, Allen WP, Pai GS, et al.** Decline in prevalence of neural tube defects in a high-risk region of the United States. *Pediatrics* 2000;**106**:677–83.
- 5 **Yen IH, Khoury MJ, Erickson JD, et al.** The changing epidemiology of neural tube defects. United States, 1968–1989. *Am J Dis Child* 1992;**146**:857–61.

- 6 **De Wals P**, Trochet C, Pinsonneault L. Prevalence of neural tube defects in the province of Quebec, 1992. *Can J Public Health* 1999;**90**:237-9.
- 7 **Rosano A**, Botto LD, Botting B, Mastroiacovo P. Infant mortality and congenital anomalies from 1950 to 1994: an international perspective. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2000;**54**:660-6.
- 8 **Stone DH**, Hamilton FM. Uses and limitations of registers of congenital malformations: a case-study. *Public Health* 1987;**101**:191-7.
- 9 **Stone DH**. A method for the validation of data in a register. *Public Health* 1986;**100**:316-24.
- 10 **British Paediatric Association**. *Classification of disease*. London: BPA, 1979.
- 11 **Scottish Health Statistics**, Information & Statistics Division. National Health Service in Scotland, Vol. 40, 1998.
- 12 **EUROCAT**. Online web site, www.ihe.be/eurocat, 2000.
- 13 **EUROCAT working group**, Eurocat Report 4, Department of Epidemiology, Catholic University of Louvain, Brussels, 1991.
- 14 **Kalter H**, Warkany J. Congenital malformations: etiologic factors and their role in prevention. *N Engl J Med* 1983;**308**:424-31.
- 15 **Kalter H**, Warkany J. Congenital malformations. *N Engl J Med* 1983;**308**:491-7.
- 16 **Kalter H**. Folic acid and human malformations: a summary and evaluation. *Reprod Toxicol* 2000;**14**:463-76.
- 17 **Rosano A**, Smithells D, Cacciani L, *et al*. Time trends in neural tube defects prevalence in relation to preventive strategies: an international study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1999;**53**:630-5.
- 18 **Macdonell JE**, Campbell H, Stone DH. Lead levels in domestic water supplies and neural tube defects in Glasgow. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;**82**:50-3.
- 19 **Eizaguirre-Garcia D**, Rodriguez-Andres C, Watt GC. Congenital anomalies in Glasgow between 1982 and 1989 and chromium waste. *J Public Health Med* 2000;**22**:54-8.

Readers' favourite

Top 10

Click on the "Top 10" button on the homepage to see which are the best read articles each month

www.archdischild.com